

**D. GEORGE SWEIGERT  
GENERAL DELIVERY  
NEVADA CITY, CA 95959**

**February 16, 2016**

**Magistrate Judge Stewart D. Aaron  
U.S. District Court  
500 Pearl Street  
New York, New York 10007-1312**

**SUBJ: Compliance with ECF no. 195, 1:18-cv-08653-VEC-SDA**

**Your Honor,**

***Change of Address Issues***

1. In anticipation of your recent ORDER (Dkt. 195) the undersigned sent a CHANGE OF ADDRESS document to the attention of the Clerk of the Court on 2/08/2021. This document was mailed via U.S. Priority Mail on 2/08/2020, the date of docketing of Defendant's REPLY (Dkt. 195). This document arrived at the Court's mail room at 11:38 a.m. on 2/10/2021 (according to U.S. Postal Service tracking records). As of the date of this letter the Clerk has not docketed these papers (arrived at the Court on 2/10/2021 as confirmed by tracking number).

2. Face to face conversations have been conducted with employees of the U.S. Postal Service by the undersigned who have provided a written statement that the undersigned's correct mailing address is as follows (the undersigned provides an offer of proof with this instant letter):

**D. GEORGE SWEIGERT  
GENERAL DELIVERY  
NEVADA CITY, CA 95959**

3. Considering that the Clerk has not docketed this Change of Address a request is hereby made that you kindly direct the Clerk to take the appropriate actions to update the Court records with the proper address. The Clerk's mailing of your recent ORDER on this date (2/16/2021) is in error. The undersigned encourages the Court to recognize the Plaintiff's proper address (listed above).

4. The undersigned Plaintiff expends nearly \$8.00 (eight dollars) every time there is communications sent to this Court. These documents are tracked by U.S. Postal Service tracking services. Additionally, the undersigned Plaintiff expends nearly \$600.00 (six hundred dollars) a year for PACER Court Access to closely monitor the docket and track communications.

Page two—Sweigert

5. The commotion over the address issue has been driven by the Defendant's open defiance to this Court's orders. The Defendant has claimed he is forced to mail discovery materials to the Plaintiff. This is incorrect. The Plaintiff has communicated his willingness to receive discovery documents via e-mail message on numerous times to the Defendant (see attached).

***Clear Error of ORDER Dkt. No. 195***

6. The undersigned believes the recent issue of the ORDER (Dkt. 195) is in clear error. The ORDER was apparently issued prematurely as it did not allow the Plaintiff to submit his REPLY brief (Dkt. No. 196). It appears the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (Fed. R. Civ. Proc.) Rule 27 allows the Plaintiff to file a REPLY to the Defendant's RESPONSE (Dkt. 193). For an unknown reason, the ORDER was issued within the time window of the seven (7) days allocated to the opposing party to file a REPLY as articulated in Fed. R. Civ. Proc. Rule 27 (Rule 27(a)(3)(A)). It could appear bewildering as to why the Plaintiff's REPLY (Dkt. 196) was never considered in the ORDER (Dkt. 195). It is also noteworthy that the REPLY (Dkt. 196) was mailed a day after (24 hours) the CHANGE OF ADDRESS was deposited with the U.S. Post Office on 2/08/2021.

7. As you are aware, the ORDER made an incorrect determination that the Plaintiff's mailing address was "not valid". Such a determination is in clear error, as the undersigned's Motion to Compel (Dkt. 188) included an exhibit depicting the receipt of three (3) months' worth of recent Court mailings. The ORDER (Dkt. 195) provides no authoritative reference on which to base any decision or observation on what constitutes a "proper address" except for the Defendant's assertions, relied upon to the Court's own detriment.

8. As a courtesy to the Clerk's office a copy of this letter is provided to the appropriate Associate Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court to monitor the progress of docketing papers to avoid the appearance of prejudicial misconduct. The undersigned cooperated fully with the wishes of the magistrate as expressed in the ORDER (Dkt. 195) which remains undocumented by the error of the Clerk.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Sweigert", with a stylized flourish at the end.

D. George Sweigert

(attachments)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby attests under penalties of perjury that copies of this communication have been sent via First Class mail to the following parties on the sixteenth day (16<sup>th</sup>) of February, two thousand and twenty-one (2021).

<b>Sonia Sotomayor, Associate Justice SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES 1 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20543.</b>	<b>Jason Goodman, CEO Multimedia System Design, Inc. 252 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Apart. #6S New York, NY 10001</b>
---	---



***D. GEORGE SWEIGERT  
Pro Se Non-Attorney  
GENERAL DELIVERY  
NEVADA CITY, CA 95959***

Jason Goodman<truth@crowdsourcethetruth.org>  
2/15/2021 9:46 PM

I do not require your advice with regard to matters pertaining to the US Postal service or this lawsuit.

---

On Feb 15, 2021, at 2:47 PM, Spoliation Notice <[spoliation-notice@mailbox.org](mailto:spoliation-notice@mailbox.org)> wrote:

That's fine.

The address that the Court has is a proper registered address. That will become another issue to clarify for the Court based on your resistance.

It is suggested you closely follow the filing papers to be docketed in the Court over the next few days. PDF copies shall be transmitted of these filings to you via this e-mail message account and a record provided to the Court as a courtesy to you.

You may also want to advise the Court of your present non-New York State mailing address.

Best,

D. Geo. Sweigert

---

On 02/15/2021 8:31 PM Jason Goodman <[truth@crowdsourcethetruth.org](mailto:truth@crowdsourcethetruth.org)> wrote:

Register a proper address with the court I'll follow their directions in deadlines not yours

---

On Feb 15, 2021, at 2:28 PM, Spoliation Notice <[spoliation-notice@mailbox.org](mailto:spoliation-notice@mailbox.org)> wrote:

Dear Sir,

There is a three hour time difference between the East Coast and the West Coast.

You are in default on providing discovery via a court order. You must provide this court ordered discovery or risk further sanctions by the S.D.N.Y.

A "YES or NO" answer to the transfer of discovery materials should not be that much of an inconvenience.

The Court will be advised of your uncooperative non-compliance.

Otherwise, this e-mail address is ready to receive your transfer of discovery materials.

Best,

D. Geo. Sweigert

---

On 02/15/2021 3:35 PM Jason Goodman <[truth@crowdsourcethetruth.org](mailto:truth@crowdsourcethetruth.org)> wrote:

I'm going to politely ask you to restrict our communications to business hours Monday through Friday. I've made this exception today, on a national holiday, to inform you I am out of time for your nonsense and game playing.

---

>> On Feb 14, 2021, at 12:24 PM, Spoliation Notice <[spoliation-notice@mailbox.org](mailto:spoliation-notice@mailbox.org)> wrote:

To: Jason Goodman

1. As you are well aware, the deadline for you to communicate your cooperation to produce discovery via electronic means has come and gone.
2. After consultation with the U.S. Postal Service authorities, they have advised that this is a valid U.S. mail address:

D. George Sweigert  
General Delivery  
Nevada City, CA 95959

3. The Court will be advised of this e-mail message and that you are presently "on the road" at the Port of Charleston, S.C.

Best,

D. Geo. Sweigert

---

On 02/13/2021 12:48 AM Spoliation Notice <[spoliation-notice@mailbox.org](mailto:spoliation-notice@mailbox.org)> wrote:

Supplemental Message

If you would care to transmit this discovery via Internet e-mail messages we can attempt that exchange via this e-mail address.

However, if you are interested in this process, you must agree to this approach prior to midnight (PST) 2/13/2021 by return e-mail message.

Best,

D. Geo. Sweigert